



1     Dempgraphics

2             The HM site is incorporated by the city of Lemont, a primarily  
3     residential rural community with a population of approximately  
4     4,600 people. Most of the city's residents live in old residential  
5     neighborhoods in the city's center, which lies approximately 3  
6     miles southwest of the HM site (Guizzon 1991). In 1980 there were  
7     2,256 persons per square mile in the village of Lemont (US Bureau  
8     of Census 1982).

9             The city of Downer's Grove is a suburban-Chicago center for  
10    corporate office space, retail and minor manufacturing that lies  
11    approximately 3 miles north of the HM site. Downer's Grove has a  
12    population of 45,858, most of which resides in relatively new  
13    subdivisions north of the city center. In 1980 there were 3,548  
14    persons per square mile in the village of Downer's Grove (US Bureau  
15    of Census 1982).

16  
17    Land Use

18            The HM site lies in a primarily commercial/industrial and  
19    recreational area of unincorporated Lemont. Forested land  
20    surrounds the residential areas of Lemont and Downer's Grove and is  
21    the most dominant landscape in the site area. Much of the forested  
22    land is maintained as forest preserves and parks (USGS maps).  
23    Agriculture is limited to only small areas around the site.

24            The Argonne National Laboratory Reservation is a 1700-acre  
25    multidisciplinary research facility which lies approximately 1/2  
26    mile northwest of the HM site. Approximately 50 laboratories and  
27    administrative buildings occupy only small portions of the

1 reservation and the remainder of the property is forested land  
2 (Foster 1991).

3 In the immediate site area in the Des Plaines valley, there  
4 are several salvage yards and ongoing commercial construction  
5 projects which FIT observed during the site inspection.  
6

#### 7 Waterway Use

8 The SSC and the CSC are major waterways for barge traffic  
9 between inland industries and Chicago-area ports along Lake  
10 Michigan. All types of manufactured products are transported on  
11 the barges, but raw materials, such as chemicals, sand and gravel,  
12 coal, petroleum products, and grain, are the largest components of  
13 the waterway traffic (Wadleigh 1991).  
14

#### 15 SECTION 2.4 REGIONAL GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

16 The regional geology of the HM site is characterized by mildly  
17 deformed Paleozoic sedimentary rocks which are overlain by  
18 unconsolidated Quaternary glaciogenic deposits.

19 The unconsolidated sediments are almost entirely Wisconsinan  
20 in age and represent a variety of glacial environments. Till  
21 deposits, primarily moraines, are unsorted and range in texture from  
22 dense clay-rich material to gravel- and sand-rich material (Zeizel,  
23 et al 1962, Willman 1971). In DuPage County these deposits are  
24 expressed as a series of north-south trending end moraines which  
25 record short and rapid fluctuations of the margin of the Michigan  
26 Lobe. These moraines are generally assigned to the Valparaiso  
27 Moraine, which is a morphostratigraphic subdivision of the Wedron

1 Formation (Willman 1971).

2 Glaciofluvial deposits in the region are generally well-sorted  
3 bodies of clay, sand or gravel that are expressed at the surface as  
4 kames, kame terraces and eskers. Some of these features are draped  
5 along the slopes of more prominent moraine or bedrock topographic  
6 highs. Glaciofluvial sediments are also interbedded with till  
7 material as lenticular, discontinuous and erratically distributed  
8 bodies. Some glaciofluvial material is also found as residual  
9 valley train deposits in major drainages that have survived to the  
10 present day, such at the Des Plaines valley (Zeizel, et al 1962,  
11 Willman 1971).

12 The major drainage for glacial Lake Chicago is known as the  
13 Chicago outlet. This feature, which was active intermittently  
14 throughout much of Wisconsinian time, eroded through previously  
15 existing drift to form the Des Plaines valley, which forms the  
16 channel of the present-day Des Plaines River. The erosion of the  
17 Chicago outlet was so extensive that bedrock has been exposed along  
18 some stretches of the Des Plaines valley (Willman 1971).

19 Regional bedrock consists of a massive sequence of sedimentary  
20 rocks which were deposited in the basin and along the margins of a  
21 shallow continental sea (Willman 1971) See Figure 3- for a  
22 generalized stratigraphic column of the site area.

23 Silurian rocks form the bedrock surface throughout most of the  
24 region. Composed almost exclusively of dolomite, these rocks are  
25 divided into series which are separated ~~which are separated~~ by  
26 minor interruption in sedimentation. The younger Niagaran system  
27 consists of three formations. The youngest of these, the Racine

1 Dolomite, is characterized by large reefs of pure dolomite which are  
2 flanked by argillaceous and silty dolomite with lenses of green  
3 shale. The Waukesha Dolomite is brownish, slightly silty, fine  
4 grained dolomite that occurs in smooth-surfaced beds. The Joliet  
5 Dolomite, the basal formation of the Niagaran system in the region,  
6 is characterized by interbedded red coarse dolomite and greenish  
7 gray argillaceous dolomite with green and red shale partings  
8 between the beds, light gray to white cherty dolomite, and mottled  
9 pink, vuggy pure dolomite (Willman 1971).

10 The Alexandrian Series of the Silurian System consists of two  
11 formations in the region. The Kankakee Dolomite is composed of  
12 gray to pinkish gray or white dolomite. The Edgewood is  
13 argillaceous, cherty brownish gray dolomite (Willman 1971).

14 The Ordovician System in the region comprises 17 formations in  
15 3 series. These formations, from youngest to oldest, are divided  
16 into five groups as follows: 1) The Maquoketa Group: Neda  
17 Formation (oolitic limestone), Brainard Shale, Fort Atkinson  
18 Limestone, Scales Shale. 2) Galena Group: Dunleith and Wise Lake  
19 Formations (limestone and dolomite), Guttenberg Formation (dolomite  
20 and limestone). 3) Platteville Group: Nachusa Formation (dolomite  
21 and limestone), Grand Detour Formation (limestone and dolomite),  
22 Mifflin Formation (limestone and dolomite), Pecatonia Dolomite. 4)  
23 Ancell Group: Glenwood Formation (sandstone, dolomite and shale),  
24 St. Peter Sandstone. 5) Prairie du Chien Group: Shakopee Dolomite,  
25 New Richmond Sandstone, Oneota Dolomite, and Gunter Sandstone  
26 (Willman 1971).

27 The Cambrian System in the region comprises seven formations.

1 These formations, from youngest to oldest, are as follows: Eminence  
2 Formation (sandy dolomite), Potosi (Trempeleau) Dolomite, Franconia  
3 Formation (dolomite), Ironston Sandstone, Galesville Sandstone, Eau  
4 Claire Formation (sandstone), and Mt. Simon Sandstone (Willman  
5 1971).

6 The bedrocks in the region of the HM site lie along the  
7 northeastern flank of the Kankakee Arch. This broad, northwest-  
8 southeast trending asymmetrical anticline is a northwestern  
9 extension of the Cincinnati Arch and separates the Michigan and  
10 Illinois basins. Dip of the bedrock is less than one degree east  
11 and southeast.

### 12 13 Hydrogeology

14 The groundwater system in the region of the HM site consists  
15 of six basic geohydrologic units: glacial drift aquifers, Silurian  
16 dolomite aquifer, leaky confining beds of the Maquoketa Group,  
17 Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer, confining beds of the Eau Claire  
18 Formation, and the Mt. Simon aquifer.

19 Sand and gravel of the surficial glacial deposits comprise the  
20 Prairie Aquigroup. This aquifer is poorly distributed in the  
21 region. Wells which draw from the Prairie Aquigroup in Du Page  
22 County range in depth from 61-136 feet and produce 20-750 gallons  
23 per minute (gpm) (Woller, et al 1986, Zeizel, et al 1962).

24 Silurian dolomite in the region comprises the shallow Upper  
25 Bedrock Aquigroup. Zones of saturation exist primarily in joints  
26 and fractures in the eroded surface of the Niagaran Series that  
27 have been enlarged by solutioning. Wells which draw from the

1 shallow Upper Bedrock Aquigroup in Du Page County range in depth  
2 from 75-425 feet and produce from 200-2,500 gpm (Woller, et al  
3 1986, Zeizel, et al 1962).

4 Relatively impermeable shale beds of the Maquoketa Group  
5 underlie the Silurian dolomite. These beds allow leakage from the  
6 Silurian dolomites into the underlying units (Woller, et al 1986,  
7 Zeizel, et al 1962).

8 The Cambrian-Ordovician, or the Midwest Aquigroup, underlies  
9 the Maquoketa Group. Small yields are obtained from joints and  
10 fractures in the Galena and Platteville Groups and the Prairie du  
11 Chien and from poorly indurated zones of ~~the~~ Glenwood and St. Peter  
12 sandstones. The main producing formations of this aquifer are the  
13 Ironton and Galesville sandstones, which are consistently  
14 permeable, clean and friable. Wells in Du Page County which draw  
15 from the Midwest Aquigroup range in depth from 1356-1630 feet and  
16 yield 500-1350 gpm (Woller, et al 1986, Zeizel, et al 1962).

17 The Eau Claire Formation, which underlies the Ironton and  
18 Galesville sandstones, acts as a relatively impermeable confining  
19 layer that maintains head pressure between the Midwest Aquigroup  
20 and the underlying aquifer (Woller, et al 1986, Zeizel, et al  
21 1962).

22 The deepest aquifer in the region is the Mt. Simon Formation,  
23 which comprises the Basal Bedrock Aquigroup. This aquifer is  
24 generally salty and of poor quality. Wells in Du Page County which  
25 draw from this aquifer range in depth from 1793-2062 feet and  
26 produce 750-1,000 gpm (Woller, et al 1986, Zeizel, et al 1962).

27 Regional groundwater flow in the shallow bedrock aquifer is

- 1 toward the Des Plaines River, as determined during the Groundwater
- 2 Pathway Assessment.

## Section 2.3 REGIONAL GEOGRAPHY

### Physiography

The area around the HM site is comprised by the Wheaton Morainal Country of the Great Lakes Section of the Central Lowland Province. The HM site lies near the western edge of the Wheaton Morainal country in a flat, low-lying valley, created by an outlet from glacial Lake Chicago. This valley is now part of the channel of the present-day Des Plaines River (Willman 1971). The 97-acre site property is located on a point of land near the confluence of the man-made Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal (SSC) and the Calumet Sag Channel (CSC). The site lies at an elevation of approximately 600 feet above mean sea level (MSL) and, as a result of extensive engineering of the surrounding waterways, is generally flat (SSIR).

Hills which rise above the valley represent the effects of continental glaciation and were formed as kames, kame terraces, eskers and end moraines. In some places near the site, portions of Silurian reefs protrude through this glacial cover. The present-day topography of the surrounding hills has resulted from dissection of the glacial features by existing streams and rivers (Willman 1971).

The HM site lies near the major drainage divide that separates waters that flow to the Gulf of St. Lawrence through the Great Lakes and those that flow to the Gulf of Mexico through the Illinois and Mississippi Rivers (Willman 1971). The Des Plaines River, which flows southwest, drains the southeastern portion of Du Page County. Much of Cook County was formerly drained toward Lake



1 Michigan through the Chicago and Calumet Rivers. Construction of  
2 locks along these rivers, however, has reversed their flows, and  
3 they now flow into the Des Plaines River through the SSC and CSC.  
4 The Des Plaines River, therefore, is now the major drainage for Du  
5 Page and Cook counties. Some areas near the site, such as sloughs,  
6 bogs and kettles, are essentially undrained (USDA 1979).

7 Principle soils in the site area are mapped as Romeo silt  
8 loam, which consists of an approximately 5-inch layer of loam  
9 overlying carbonate bedrock, and the Orthents stony. The Orthents  
10 stony consists of stones and boulders, which have been dredged from  
11 the underlying carbonate regolith or blasted from the bedrock  
12 during construction of nearby waterways.

#### 13 14 Climate

15 The climate in the area of the site is temperate cold and dry  
16 in the winter and hot and humid in the summer. The mean  
17 temperature is 21.1 F in January and 72.2 F in July. The mean  
18 annual precipitation, which is well-distributed throughout the  
19 year, is 33.42 inches. The average seasonal snowfall is 38.3  
20 inches. The lowest monthly precipitation of 1.24 inches occurs in  
21 February, and the highest, 4.03 inches (check) occurs in June.  
22 These data, which reflect conditons in Chicago, were prepared by  
23 the National Climatic Center in Asheville, North Carolina, for the  
24 Soil Conservation Service (USDA 1979). Prevailing winds for the  
25 area westerly at 11 miles per hours in January and southwesterly at  
26 8 miles per hour in July (US Deparment of Commerce 1979).

2.3 SITE HISTORY

The Hannah Marine site is located on property owned by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District (MWRD) (Barnas 1989). Until 1990, the MWRD was named the Metropolitan Sanitary District (MSD) (Sustich 1991). The site is an active facility that began operations in 1951 (Barnas 1989). Site operations are unknown before 1951, although it is presumed that the property was undeveloped prior to this time. The Hannah Marine Corporation leases the property from the MWRD (Barnas 1989).

The Hannah Marine facility functions primarily as a transporter by barge of bulk liquid chemicals and petroleum products (Wiggins 1984). The Hannah Marine facility also serves as a river barge cleaning and repair yard (Wiggins 1984; E & E 1986). In its cleaning operations, Hannah Marine vacuums storage compartments of barges to remove the remaining chemical products (E & E 1986). Occasionally, the storage compartments are steam cleaned with a detergent to remove residues on the walls and floor. The amount of waste water and sludge generated from the cleaning of a single barge varies from 9,000 to 15,000 gallons, with approximately 90 to 120 barges being cleaned per year (E & E 1986).

During the period from 1951 to 1958, wastes generated from the cleaning operations was discharged directly into the shipping canal (E & E 1986). This method of disposal was discontinued in 1958 at the insistence of the MSD. In late 1958, two unlined

1 retention lagoons were constructed on-site to store the cleaning  
2 wastes. The lagoons were used until 1978, at which time the MSD  
3 insisted that the lagoons be filled. At this time, the MSD  
4 constructed two ground water sampling sumps adjacent to the  
5 abandoned lagoons (E & E 1986).

6 In November of 1979, The MSD prepared a sixty day eviction  
7 notice to be served to Hannah Marine unless it complied with  
8 specific provisions prepared by the MSD (Schroeder 1979). The  
9 provisions stipulated that Hannah Marine must obtain a proper  
10 holding tank for liquid wastes, dike the liquid wastes present in  
11 the lagoons on-site, and comply with demands made by the Illinois  
12 EPA (IEPA) for clean-up procedures and future handling of wastes  
13 (Schroeder 1979).

14 On November 16, 1979, the MSD, U.S. EPA, and IEPA met with  
15 Hannah Marine to discuss the progress of clean-up procedures at  
16 the site (Schroeder 1979). During the discussion, it was  
17 determined that approximately 5,000 gallons of waste water from  
18 the lagoons had been pumped out and landfilled at Winthrop  
19 Harbor. Also, the on-site lagoons had been diked as the MSD had  
20 requested (Schroeder 1979).

21 In November of 1980, Hannah Marine filed an application for  
22 a U.S. EPA Hazardous Waste Permit needed as a generator of  
23 hazardous waste (U.S. EPA 1980). With the application, Hannah  
24 Marine supplied a diagram of the facility showing a tank labeled  
25 "waste oil" and another tank labeled "contaminated water". These  
26 tanks were located in the diked area where the lagoons had once  
27 been (U.S. EPA 1980). The exact date of their placement on-site

1 is unknown, but apparently the tanks had been placed there for  
2 waste storage after the lagoons were diked and filled.

3 On April 9, 1982, a representative from the IEPA conducted  
4 an inspection of the Hannah Marine site to determine compliance  
5 with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) (Bechely  
6 1982). During the inspection, several deficiencies in compliance  
7 with RCRA were noticed. The deficiencies included no chemical  
8 and physical analysis of stored wastes; a lack of detailed  
9 information the description and quantity of each type of waste  
10 generated; and lack of information on the subsequent treatment,  
11 storage, and disposal of waste. Hannah Marine was ordered by  
12 IEPA to rectify the deficiencies or face possible enforcement  
13 action by the U.S. EPA (Bechely 1982). Hannah Marine complied  
14 with the IEPA request in July of 1982 by supplying the agency  
15 with the appropriate documentation (Lambert 1982).

16 Lack of information concerning the clean-up procedures as a  
17 follow-up to the removal of the lagoons prompted the IEPA to  
18 perform a Potential Hazardous Waste Site Preliminary Assessment  
19 (PA) on March 29, 1984 (E & E 1991). As a result of the findings  
20 of the PA, U.S. EPA FIT conducted a Screening Site Inspection  
21 (SSI) at the Hannah Marine site (E & E 1986). The SSI, conducted  
22 on May 8, 1985, included a general reconnaissance inspecting as  
23 well as the collection of three on-site ground water samples,  
24 three sediment samples, and one waste water sample from an above  
25 ground storage tank (E & E 1986). During the time of the SSI,  
26 FIT noted that the material vacuumed from barges was stored  
27 separately from the washing waste water. The vacuumed material

1 was stored in an above ground tank farm near the sites main  
2 building, while the waste water was stored in the tank in the  
3 area of the abandoned lagoons. The contents of the waste storage  
4 tanks were being shipped to the Chem Clean Company in East  
5 Chicago, Indiana, for disposal. The shipping of the wastes would  
6 occur two to three times a year. FIT also noticed that the  
7 diking around the waste water tanks was in poor condition and  
8 diking around the chemical storage tanks was absent (E & E 1986).  
9 The results of the FIT-collected on-site samples indicated the  
10 presence of several TCL compounds including chloromethane (118.7  
11 mg/kg), vinyl chloride (296 ug/L), chloroethane (271 ug/L), 1,1-  
12 dichloroethane (1,360 ug/L), 1,1,1-trichloroethane (1,090 ug/L),  
13 and toluene (172,264 mg/kg) (E & E 1986).

14 On July 17, 1987, IEPA conducted a RCRA site inspection at  
15 the Hannah Marine site (IEPA 1987). During the inspection, IEPA  
16 noted several apparent violations including improper labeling of  
17 hazardous waste storage tanks, inadequate contents for an  
18 emergency contingency plan, and the lack of a description in the  
19 1986 annual report about efforts to reduce the volume and  
20 toxicity of wastes generated. Hannah Marine Corporation was  
21 informed by IEPA of the violations on August 31, 1987, and given  
22 15 days to respond (Kissinger 1987). Hannah Marine responded in  
23 September of 1987 by providing the information that IEPA had  
24 requested (Rosemarin 1987). Consequently, upon reviewing the  
25 information provided by Hannah Marine, the IEPA determined that  
26 the previous violations had been resolved (Chappel 1987).

27 In 1990, a construction crew, contracted by the MWRD, was

1 excavating a pit near the site for a water diversion system  
2 (Kelley 1991). The pit was located approximately 75 feet north  
3 of the Calumet Sag Channel and west of the junction of Archer  
4 Avenue and State Route 83. The construction crew noticed a heavy  
5 oil, presumed to be #5 or #6, coming to the surface from the pit.  
6 The construction crew performed a leachate test and determined  
7 that the oil was non-hazardous. They removed the oil and  
8 proceeded with the construction. Frank Kelley, an engineer from  
9 Industrial Waste, Inc., also collected a sample of the waste.  
10 Industrial Waste, Inc. owns part of the Hannah Marine site  
11 (Sustich 1991a). The results of the analysis of the sample  
12 collected by Kelley is unknown. Kelley alleges that the oil came  
13 from the Hannah Marine site (Kelley 1991).

1  
2  
3  
4 REFERENCES  
5

6 Barnas, Joseph. 1989. General Manager. Hannah Marine Corporation.  
7 in telephone conversation with Gerard Breen. E & E.  
8 regarding the Hannah Marine site.  
9

10 Bechely, Kenneth P., 1982. Northern Region Manager, IEPA. in  
11 correspondence to Carl Lambert, Hannah Marine Corporation.  
12 regarding the Hannah Marine site.  
13

14 Chappel, Harry A., 1987, Manager, Compliance Section, Division of  
15 Land Pollution Control, IEPA, in correspondence to Joseph  
16 Barnas, Hannah Marine Corporation, regarding the Hannah  
17 Marine site.  
18

19 E & E, 1986. Screening Site Inspection Report for Hannah Marine  
20 Corp, Lemont, Illinois, U.S. EPA ID #: ILD069496248.  
21

22 E & E, 1991, Groundwater Pathway Assessment for Listing Site  
23 Inspection Work Plan for Hannah Marine, Lemont, Illinois,  
24 U.S. EPA ID #: ILD069496248.  
25

26 IEPA, 1987, Inspection Report for the Hannah Marine site, Lemont,  
27 Illinois, U.S. EPA ID #: ILD069496248.

6

1 Kelley, Frank, 1991, Engineer, Industrial Waste, Inc., in  
2 telephone conversation with J.A. Lundberg, E & E, regarding  
3 the Hannah Marine site.

4  
5 Kissinger, Linda J., 1987, Manager, Technical Compliance Unit,  
6 Division of Land Pollution Control, IEPA, in correspondence  
7 with Joseph Barnas, Hannah Marine Corporation, regarding the  
8 Hannah Marine site.

9  
10 Lambert, Carl F., 1982, Manager of Safety and Compliance, Hannah  
11 Marine Corporation, in correspondence to Craig Liska, IEPA,  
12 regarding the Hannah Marine site.

13  
14 Rosemarin, Carey S., 1987, Katten, Muchin, and Zaris attorneys,  
15 in correspondence to Linda J. Kissinger, IEPA, regarding the  
16 Hannah Marine site.

17  
18 Schroeder, Mary Wang, 1979, IEPA, in office memorandum, regarding  
19 the Hannah Marine site.

20  
21 Sustich, Richard, 1991, MWRD, in telephone conversation with J.A.  
22 Lundberg, E & E, regarding the Hannah Marine site.

23  
24 Sustich, Richard, 1991a, MWRD, in telephone conversation with  
25 J.A. Lundberg, E & E, regarding the Hannah Marine site.



1 U.S. EPA. 1980. Hazardous Waste Permit Application for the Hannah  
2 Marine site. Lemont, Illinois. U.S. EPA ID #: ILD069496248.  
3  
4 Wiggins, Jim, 1984. IEPA. in office memorandum regarding the  
5 Hannah Marine site.

8